## Asymmetric Key Encryption

- Important to know who should know which key(s)
- In general:
- Sender encrypts with recipient's public key
- Recipient decrypts with its private key


## Matrix of Keys

| Key details | A should <br> know | B should know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A's private key | Yes | No |
| A's public key | Yes | Yes |
| B's private key | No | Yes |
| B's public key | Yes | Yes |
|  |  |  |

## Asymmetric Key Cryptography
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## Asymmetric Key Example

- Consider a bank and its customers
- Customers encrypt their messages with bank's public key
- Bank decrypts messages with its private key


## Asymmetric Key Cryptography <br> Example
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## RSA Algorithm

- World's most popular Asymmetric Key Encryption algorithm
- Based on the theory of Prime Numbers
- Example Follows


## RSA Algorithm

1. Choose two large prime numbers $P$ and $Q$.
2. Calculate $\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{Q}$.
3. Select the public key (i.e. the encryption key) $E$ such that it is not a factor of $(P-1)$ and ( $\mathrm{Q}-1$ ).
4. Select the private key (i.e. the decryption key) $D$ such that the following equation is true:
$(\mathrm{D} \times \mathrm{E}) \bmod (\mathrm{P}-1) \times(\mathrm{Q}-1)=1$
5. For encryption, calculate the cipher text CT from the plain text PT as follows:
$\mathbf{C T}=\mathbf{P T}^{\mathrm{E}} \bmod \mathbf{N}$
6. Send CT as the cipher text to the receiver.
7. For decryption, calculate the plain text PT from the cipher text CT as follows: PT $=\mathbf{C T}^{\mathrm{D}} \bmod \mathrm{N}$

## Example of RSA Algorithm
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## Symmetric v/s Asymmetric

| Characteristic | Symmetric Key Cryptography | Asymmetric Key Cryptography |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key used for encryption / decryption | Same key is used for encryption and decryption | One key used for encryption and another, different key is used for decryption |
| Speed of encryption / decryption | Very fast | Slower |
| Size of resulting encrypted text | Usually same as or less than the original clear text size | More than the original clear text size |
| Key agreement / exchange | A big problem | No problem at all |
| Number of keys required as compared to the number of participants in the message exchange | Equals about the square of the number of participants, so scalability is an issue | Same as the number of participants, so scales up quite well |
| Usage | Mainly for encryption and decryption (confidentiality), cannot be used for digital signatures (integrity and nonrepudiation checks) | Can be used for encryption and decryption (confidentiality) as well as for digital signatures (integrity and non-repudiation checks) |
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## Digital Signature Concept

- Sender encrypts message or its fingerprint with its private key
- Guarantees that only the sender could have created this message
- Basis for Non-repudiation


## Basis for Digital Signatures
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## Message Digest Concept

- Also called as Hash
- Unique representation of a message
- Similar to finger print of a human


## Message Digest Idea

- Original number is 7391743

| Operation | Result |
| :--- | :--- |
| Multiply 7 by 3 | 21 |
| Discard first digit | 1 |
| Multiply 1 by 9 | 9 |
| Multiply 9 by 1 | 9 |
| Multiply 9 by 7 | 63 |
| Discard first digit | 3 |
| Multiply 3 by 4 | 12 |
| Discard first digit | 2 |
| Multiply 2 by 3 | 6 |

- Message digest is 6


## Message Digest Concept
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## Message Digest Demands - 1
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## Message Digest Demands - 2
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## Message Digest Demands - 3
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## Message Digest Differences

- Even if the original messages differ minutely, message digests differ dramatically
- Basis for the guarantee of uniqueness


## Message Digest Example
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## Message Digest Algorithms

- Basic principle: Take the original message, and reduce it to a smaller fingerprint
- Examples: MD5, SHA-1
- SHA-1 is considered stronger


## One MD5 Operation
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## Single SHA-1 Iteration
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## Comparison of MD5 and SHA-1

| Point of discussion | MD5 | SHA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Message digest length in <br> bits | 128 | 160 |
| Attack to try and find the <br> original message given a <br> message digest | Requires 2 $2^{128}$ operations to <br> break in | Requires $2^{160}$ operations to <br> break in, therefore more <br> secure |
| Attack to try and find two <br> messages producing the <br> same message digest | Requires 2 $2^{64}$ operations to <br> break in | Requires $2^{80}$ operations to <br> break in |
| Successful attacks so far | There have been reported <br> attempts to some extent (as <br> we discussed earlier) | No such claims so far |
| Speed | Faster (64 iterations, and <br> 128-bit buffer) | Slower (80 iterations, and <br> $160-$ bit buffer) |
| Software implementation | Simple, does not need any <br> large programs or complex <br> tables | Simple, does not need any <br> large programs or complex <br> tables |
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## Message Authentication Code (MAC)

- Similar to message digest
- In addition, also involves encryption and decryption
- Sender and receiver must know a shared secret key


## Message Authentication Code (MAC)
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## HMAC Concept
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## Complete HMAC Operation
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